

Reducing View-sharing artifacts in dynamic Contrast-Enhanced Magnetic Resonance Angiography using Compressed Sensing

Stanislas Rapacchi¹, Yutaka Natsuaki², Gerhard Laub², John Paul Finn¹, and Peng Hu¹

¹Radiology, UCLA, Los Angeles, California, United States, ²Siemens Healthcare, Los Angeles, California, United States

Target Audience: Clinicians and researchers working with dynamic Contrast Enhanced MRA (CE-MRA).




Figure 1: View-sharing is reduced from full k-space (TWIST) to its minimum using adjacent acquired parts of k-space only (CS-TWIST). Compressed Sensing allows for the reconstruction of images for CS-TWIST.

the Total Variation of individual volumes. Parallel imaging was implemented using SPIRiT⁶ coil-to-coil iterative reconstruction. The optimization is performed with a split-Bregman algorithm that guarantees fast convergence. To evaluate qualitatively and quantitatively the artifacts from view-sharing in a phantom experiment, we followed the trajectory of a 2-3cm-long air bubble through a convoluted plastic tube perfused with doped saline. Transit of the bubble signal void was clearly seen against the bright fluid in the tube and mean transit time (MTT) was measured in regions-of-interest (ROIs). The same parameters were then confirmed in routine clinical datasets.

Results: Similar temporal blurring was observed in the phantom and in clinical experiments (Fig.2). However because the view-sharing is performed in higher spatial frequencies, smaller vessels (carotids) are more affected than larger ones (veins) (Fig.2b&c) and because TWIST view-sharing reconstruction uses data from earlier timepoints, signal enhancement appears delayed and elongated when compared with CS reconstruction. In practice, mean transit time is then overestimated using TWIST compared to CS-TWIST ($0.7 \pm 0.1s$, $p < 0.01$ in carotids and $0.04 \pm 0.1s$ in jugular veins). The CS-TWIST reconstruction resulted also in higher peak signal and much different signal enhancement pattern over time for the carotid artery shown in Fig. 2c, although the signal enhancement of the veins were similar. Thus CS-TWIST provided an improved separation of the early venous phase from the late arterial phase (Fig.2c).




Figure 2: The projection of a single frame (a) along a line shows differences in enhancement timing due to reconstruction (b) in both phantom and clinical experiment (temporal resolution was 1.25s for both experiments, temporal footprints T_F are provided for each reconstruction). The temporal blurring is confirmed (c) using 2 regions of interest (ROI). Clinically, the temporal blurring is more severe in the smaller vessel (carotid, red) than in the larger one (vein, blue). Peak enhancement is also improved using CS-TWIST compared to TWIST.

Conclusion and Discussion: The reduction of view-sharing using Compressed Sensing allows accurate visualization of fast flow in smaller vessels, limits the likelihood of arterial-to-venous contamination artifacts, and improves the assessment of arterial to venous transit time by mitigating the non-uniform temporal blurring. The temporal and spatial blurring caused by view-sharing is a potential source of error for quantitative imaging such as dynamic contrast-enhanced perfusion imaging.

References: 1. Turski PA, et al. *MRI*. 2001;12(3):175–181; 2. Lim RP, et al. *AJNR*. 2008;29(10):1847–1854.; 3. Rapacchi S, et al. *MRM*. 2013; 4. Trzasko JD, et al. *MRM*. 2011;66(4):1019–1032; 5. Lee GR, et al. *MRM* 2011; 6. Lustig M, et al. *MRM*. 2010;64:457–471.